



EASSH position on Evaluation in H2020 Societal Challenges

EASSH members believe that the evaluation of proposals is most complex in H2020's third pillar – Societal Challenges - compared to the other two pillars

it is key to figure what is the best evaluation process and who can best evaluate these proposals

EASSH questions whether the current evaluation process is fit for purpose

EASSH questions whether the Commission, by leaving the construction of the pool of evaluators to self-nomination, can draw on a pool of expertise with enough breadth and depth

a mismatch in SC6 between the type of proposals received, mostly targeted at peers with a research background, and the pool of experts evaluating the proposals who have a wider range of expertise in research, policy and industry

EASSH is concerned that the evaluation of interdisciplinary projects may work against a robust SSH participation in successful proposals

